Rabbi Yochanan (Taanit 8b) compares rain to הקבורה גלויות – the ingathering of the exiles:

"... The day of the rains is as great as the day of the ingathering of the exiles, as it says, 'Return, Hashem, our captivity, like torrents on arid land' (Tehillim 126:4)." What does this passage teach us about הקבורה גלויות? In order to understand his analogy we must take a closer look at his proof-text. What are the אפיקים בנגב the verse in Tehillim speaks about?

In extremely arid areas, like Israel’s Negev Desert, strong rains often result in flash floods. The land does not absorb the rainwater, which, instead, flows on the surface of the land. Rivulets form, and, eventually, water from a number of different wadis joins into a powerful torrent that streams through the desert.

Each of the two words of the expression "אפיקים בנגב" highlights a different aspect of the ingathering of the exiles.

אפיקים: Unlike rain in fertile areas, where each drop gets absorbed into the soul, the millions of drops of rain that fall on the Negev’s arid land gather together into powerful streams, the אפיקים. "אפיקים" not only involves the return of the People of Israel to the Land of Israel; it also involves gathering together all of the Jews scattered all over the world. Each Jew is like a drop of rain, and when enough drops gather they become a rivulet, then a stream, then a torrent. This is reflected in the text of the blessing in the Shemoneh Esrei dedicated to the ingathering of the exiles: "רשות נ朧ך גלויות וקבצנו ונסוף נפשות אברך מקדש (וארבעון) – Raise a banner to gather our exile, and gather us together from the four corners of the earth (to our Land – Nusach Sefard)." The text of that blessing is built on a verse in Yishayah (that some are accustomed to read on Yom Ha'atzmaut):

"וְנָּשָּׁא נֵס לְגוֹ יִם וְאָסַף נִדְחֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל וּנְפֻצוּ תְּיָהוּדָּה יַקְבֵּץ מֵאַרְבַּע כַּנְפוֹת הָאָרֶץ – He will raise up a banner to the nations, and bring in the lost ones of Israel and gather the scattered ones of Yehudah from the four corners of the world" (Yishayah 11:12). As the Malbim (Harav Meir Leibush ben Yecheil Michel, 1809-1879) clarifies in his commentary on that versed, not only will the Jews return; they will be unified.

בנגב: But the exiles return to an arid Land that had been thirsting for water. The אפיקים have often been experienced as flash floods. A dry desert is suddenly home to a powerful stream of rushing water.

Harav Uzi Kalcheim (Israeli rav, dayan, and educator, 1935-1994) observed how the אפיקים analogy played itself out in the last hundred years, with waves of Aliyah following dry spells. He said in a public talk in the early 1990s: “During the last fifteen years Aliyah had waned to a trickle, as if the enterprise of Aliyah seemed to have stopped and the Land of Israel seemed to no longer draw its children towards it. Then, suddenly, from a place we never dreamed it would come from, the cold and threatening north, the cruel and tough iron curtains burst open, and our brothers, after seventy years of detachment, broke through and powerfully streamed to us in mighty quantities ... שובה ה’ את שביתנו כאפיקים בנגב (source for Rav Kalcheim’s talk: https://www.yeshiva.org.il/midrash/3788).
Our Parshah describes tzara'at habayit, the supernatural plague that afflicted a house, and the detailed procedure a home-owner and Kohen would go through following its appearance. One detail is puzzling: the home owner could not state categorically 'Nega – A plague has appeared to me in the house,' but rather "K’nega (adding a Kaf, meaning "something like") – "Something like a plague has appeared to me in the house."

In this source guide Rabbi Fischer explores one letter of one word of our Parshah in depth, offering five explanations for the additional "Kaf."

1. **Background**

The first signs of tzara'at (a disease often translated as leprosy) must be examined carefully. Tzara'at is the collective name for afflictions that attack the body, clothing or house; the usual translation of "leprosy" is inaccurate. Tzara'at is a source of tum'ah (a hard word to translate as well; the best we might say is "spiritual defilement" determined by physical conditions), and requires purification (taharah).

The rabbis teach that Hashem cursed tzara'at on one whose character is flawed by, for example, speaking ill of others or selfishness (Arachin 15-16). At first his house is affected, then his clothing, and only after these manifestations is his body afflicted. Tzara'at is a "good pain," punishing him at a stage when these faults can still be rectified.

2. **House-Tzaraat**

First comes house-tzaraat:

1. **Vayikra 14:34-36**

   (34) When you will come to the Land of Canaan which I give to you for a possession, and I will put the plague of tzara'at in a house of the land of your possession.

   (35) And he to whom the house belongs shall come and tell the priest, saying: 'Something like a plague has appeared to me in the house.'

   (36) Then the priest shall command that they empty the house, before the priest comes to view the plague, so that all that is in the house be not made tamei (spiritually defiled); then afterwards the priest shall come to view the house.

Rashi refers to the Mishnah that focuses on the extra ב of נגע (Nega'im 12:5):

2. **Rashi on Vayikra 14:34-36**

   Even if the owner of the house who notices the signs is a scholar, and is able to determine with certainty that this is tzara'at, he may not state categorically "נגע" — "A plague has appeared to me in the house," but rather "כנגע" — "Something like a plague has appeared to me in the house."

The Rambam codifies this halachah in the Laws of the Uncleanliness of Tzara'at (14:4).

3. **Why Say "Something Like a Plague?"**

Why dilute the truth? As the Siftei Chachamim (Harav Shabbetai Bass, זצ"ל 1641-1718) points out, at first the Torah says, "and I will put the plague of tzara'at," which indicates certainty. So, why should the Torah now insist on the words of uncertainty, "Something like a plague?"

Furthermore, even if the owner of the house were to make a definitive statement, it would have no effect, because, as the Mishnah teaches (Nega'im 3:1), "Uncleanliness and cleanness are in the power of the Kohen (priest):" the Kohen tells him whether to declare "tamei," and only then does the house become tamei. The Kohen's proclamation is intrinsic to the creation of reality. All the owner does is to provide the basis for creating
This leads to the question asked by Harav Eliahu Mizrachi, זצ”ל (Turkey, 1450-1525): Why must the owner say “k’nega?” After all, prior to the Kohen’s proclamation there is no tum’ah. So, what harm would there be in the owner saying “nega?” Whatever he says will not change the situation anyway!

4. Five Explanations
A comprehensive summary of the major points of view in this question is presented by Harav Yom Tov Lipmann Heller, זצ”ל (1579-1654, a disciple of the Maharal who served as a rav in Prague and Krakow) in his commentary Tosefot Yom Tov on the Mishnah. He discusses five opinions:

A. R. Mizrachi quotes his teachers: The Torah is teaching a lesson in proper behavior (derech eretz), to speak with reservation and humility, even when the situation looks unequivocally clear. As the Talmud says, “Teach your tongue to say ‘I do not know’” (Tractate Berachot 4a).

B. R. Mizrachi himself suggests two answers. First: Since the Kohen is the only one to determine whether or not the house is unclean, for anyone else to say so would be disrespectful towards the position of the Kohen. This is similar to the prohibition against a student, even a very knowledgeable one, rendering a halachic decision in the presence of his teacher (Tractate Sanhedrin 5b).

C. R. Mizrachi’s second answer is that the Kohen should not be rushed into making his proclamation. By the owner saying “k’nega,” he is taking some of the pressure off the Kohen.

D. The author of Gur Aryeh (Harav Yehudah Loew ben Betzalel, זצ”ל the Maharal of Prague, c. 1525-1609) states: The owner may not say, “A plague,” simply because it is not true. Until the Kohen proclaims it tamei, it is not a plague, and must not be spoken of as such, because “He that tells lies shall not remain” (Psalms 101:7).

E. The author of Korban Aharon (Harav Aharon ben Avraham ibn Chayim, זצ”ל, 1545-1632) comments: When the symptoms of tzara’at appear in the house, it is a plague, but it does not attain the status of tamei until the Kohen declares it so. Meanwhile, however, it is still possible that the plague will dim and the Kohen will then proclaim tahor. At this stage, the owner is enjoined, “Do not open your mouth to the Satan” (Tractate Berachot 19a): do not foreshadow a worst-case scenario.

5. Another Question
One question remains: Why doesn’t this insistence on saying k’nega also apply to body-tzara’at or clothing-tzara’at? The author of the Tosefot Yom Tov notes that house-tzara’at is the first stage in the process. Once the clothing or body has been afflicted, the die has been cast and it’s too late.

Perhaps here we can incorporate the idea from the Korban Aharon. At this unresolved stage between k’nega and nega, all is not lost. In fact, Hashem is doing the owner of the house a favor by making him aware of a fault in his character. This seeming-plague is an alarm bell, a wake-up call to repentance. Now is not the time to take a negative attitude, but to welcome the warning before matters deteriorate.

6. Seven Lessons
From this one halacha (law), we gain many insights into the values that must suffuse Torah learning:

- Pursue truth with humility.
- Respect those in positions of honor.
- Make decisions without haste.
- Be accurate.
- Remain open to positive results.
- Be ready for initiatives to self-improvement.
- Be careful with each word.

All this from "k’nega!” How much can be learned from just one letter!
The Torah’s opens its passage about צערת הבית (plague on houses) in a surprisingly positive tone: “When you come to the Land of Canaan that I am giving to you as a possession, I will place the tzara’at plague on the house of the Land of your possession” (Vayikra 14:34). Rashi (based on Torat Kohanim 14:75) writes: “This is good news for them, for the Emorites had hidden gold treasures in the walls of their houses during the entire forty years that the Jews were in the desert, and through the plague the wall is broken and it is found.”

The Piasetzna Rebbe (Harav Kalonymos Kalman Shapira, זצ”ל הי”ד, 1889-1943, murdered in the Holocaust), in Aish Kodesh (essays he wrote during the years 1939-1942 whose manuscripts were buried in milk cans under a building in the Warsaw Ghetto, and were providentially unearthed and published after the war) asks an obvious question (Parshat Metzora 5740, pp. 40-41): If Hashem wanted to give the Jew a present of hidden Emorite gold treasure, why did his house have to be rendered impure for seven days? This is especially difficult according to the Ramban and others who say that these tzara’at plagues were supernatural. When they identified the plague on the house why didn’t they just break open the wall and give its owner the gold?

Even though, as he says, we cannot know the true intent of the Torah’s laws, which are given by an infinitely wise G-d, we can still learn a lesson from the impurity that goes along with the tzara’at of houses. The dissonance that goes along with tzara’at on houses – G-d gives a present of gold preceded by seven days of impurity – has its parallel in our life experiences.

When a person, G-d forbid, experiences physical suffering, he is challenged to believe that it is ultimately Divine good. But it is a more powerful challenge to experience spiritual suffering and believe that it comes from Divine goodness and not Divine distancing.

Impurity seems to distance a person from Divine service. He cannot enter the Beit Hamikdash or eat sacrifices. Are the seven days of impurity a message from Hashem that He is distancing this home owner?

The Piasetza Rebbe asked this question in 1939, after the Nazis, יехал שמם, had closed all houses of worship and learning in Poland: “When we see now that He is not only smiting us with sufferings of the body but even through things that seem to distance us from Him – there is no cheder for children to learn Torah in and no yeshiva, no beit midrash to learn in and no mikvah and the like – then, G-d forbid, a doubt might arouse within us: Is His intent also now for our good? For if it was for the good he should have chastised us through things that bring us closer to Him, not through stopping Torah learning and tefillah and, G-d forbid, almost all of the Torah. Are these not the sufferings of ‘He cast them away …’ (Devarim 29:27)?”

Therefore the Torah counters, in the passage of house-tzara’at, that this is not the case. Even through seven days of seemingly distancing impurity Hashem brings the Israelite a present of a treasure.

This, he suggests, is why the one who sees a plague on his house does not say, “Nega – A plague appeared to me,” but rather “K’nega – Something like a plague has appeared to me in the house.” It only appears as a plague but it is really a gift.
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